



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,270	03/27/2001	Ryoichi Inanami	03180.0278	7690
22852	7590	04/08/2004	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			JOHNSTON, PHILLIP A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/817,270		INANAMI ET AL.4	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Phillip A Johnston		2881	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Detailed Action

1. This Office Action is submitted in response to Amendment dated 2-12-2004, wherein Claims 1,7, and 15 are amended. Claims 1-21 are pending.

Claims Rejection – 35 U.S.C. 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-21, stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,371,373 to Shibata, in view of Shimada, U.S. Patent No. 5,348,902, and in further view of Murai, U.S. Patent No. 5,250,812.

Regarding Claims 1-4, and 6-21, Shibata (373) discloses in FIG. 3, an LSI device pattern supplied from the LSI CAD/DA system 1 to an electron beam (EB) lithography data conversion system 2 as input data to be converted into data having such a format as readable by an EB lithography apparatus. If the input data represents such a repeated pattern (which is also called a cell in some LSI-CAD systems) as, for example, patterns of a memory device, then a cell projection lithography technique is utilized to perform efficient writing or delineating operation (the

Art Unit: 2881

standard cell library recording means, as recited in Claim 7). In this case, the input data is processed by an EB lithography data generation system 3 of the cell projection lithography. The lithography data generated by the data conversion system 2 and the data generation system 3 (a lithography data generator and a pattern selector) is transferred to an EB lithography control system 4 and registered therein. Further, the repeated pattern processed by the EB lithography data generation system 3 of the cell projection lithography is generated as a second transfer mask 21 and installed in a second transfer mask mechanism 20 (the first placement positions of shaping holes on the CP aperture related to standard cells, as recited in Claim 1). See Column 4, line 24-44.

Shibata (373) also discloses an electron beam lithography method that includes steps of judging whether or not the number of the classified repetitive patterns is larger than or equal to a predetermined repetition number, and further classifying the classified repetitive patterns as non-repetitive patterns when it is judged that the number of the classified repetitive patterns is smaller than the predetermined repetition number (the aperture decision means for selecting a CP aperture having a throughput higher than a desired throughput, as recited in Claim 1). See Column 2, line 38-46.

Although the use of a standard cell library in the CAD system of Shibata (373) is implied, the terminology describing the standard cell library, as recited in Claims 1, 4, and 6-21 is not specifically disclosed therein. Shimada (902); however, discloses that, in an automatic placing and routing system for fabricating a semiconductor integrated circuit device (hereinafter sometimes referred to simply as "LSI device"), cells are

Art Unit: 2881

placed and routed between terminals on a semiconductor substrate. The cells include basic cells of a standard cell system or a cell-based system, and are registered in a library as a functional block of, for example, a flip-flop or a two-input NAND gate. The layout of these cells is prepared through an automatic cell layout preparation program on the basis of a circuit diagram designed in advance. This automatic cell layout preparation program is for generating an actual cell pattern on the basis of circuit diagram information, a layout rule on the fabrication process of the LSI device and performance designating information such as the width and length (W/L) of the transistor. Design automation (DA) systems for automatic placing and routing of basic cells include those marketed by various computer-aided design (CAD) system makers and those internally fabricated by semiconductor integrated circuit device makers.

A cell with a pattern designed by the above-mentioned method is regarded as a basic cell of a standard cell system of a semiconductor integrated circuit and is registered in the library. A multiplicity of cells registered in the library are placed and routed in order to constitute a semiconductor integrated circuit device as required, and mask patterns are formed from information thus obtained (the decision means for conducting logic synthesis for CP apertures using standard cells, as recited in Claim 1). These masks are used for forming a semiconductor integrated circuit device on a semiconductor substrate. See Column 1, line 14-34; and Column 4, line 1-7.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that the LSI/CAD data of Shibata's (373) lithography exposure system can be modified to use

Art Unit: 2881

the standard cell library data of Shimada (902), making it possible to select the repetitive standard cells to be included on the mask .

Shibata (373) further discloses in Figure 1, the operations executed by the EB lithography data conversion system 2 and the cell projection lithography data generation system 3. In step 49 LSI pattern data is read and input from the LSI-CAD/DA system 1. In the next step 50, the input data is classified into repetitive patterns or non-repetitive patterns based on the cell name and array structure of the input pattern data and previously temporarily registered. When the input data is classified as the repetitive patterns in step 50, the processing proceeds to step 51, where it is determined whether or not a cell projection condition 1 is satisfied. The cell projection condition 1 is such that the repetitive numbers of the repetitive patterns is sufficiently large, as recited in Claims 1,7, and 15. In step 52, the repetitive unit pattern mask is thus set and the repetitive structure is simultaneously set, and then they are registered. Then, the processing proceeds to step 53, whereat the non-repetitive patterns temporally registered are extracted in step 50 and the extracted patterns are subjected to a normal lithography data conversion. In step 54, a preparing operation (mask layout and lithography data conversion) of the second transfer mask is carried out and the mask is set in the second transfer mask mechanism 20 in step 55. Then, the processing proceeds to step 56, where the mask and repetitive structure registered in step 52 are selected and composed with the lithography data of the non-repetitive pattern obtained in step 53. Next, the processing proceeds to step 57, where the lithography data obtained in step 56 is sorted according to the lithography

Art Unit: 2881

sequence and output as lithography data. See Column 6, line 53-68, and Column 7, line 1-37.

Shibata (373) still further discloses that after the lithography data for delineating and the second transfer mask 21 are generated in this way, the EB lithography control system 4 transfers the lithography data through a system bus 7 to a buffer memory 5 at a high speed. The buffer memory 5 is usually formed of two memory units so that while one of the memory units is receiving the lithography data through the system bus 7, the other of the memory units is transferring the lithography data to a data control system 8 at a high speed for the body of the apparatus in its delineating operation. Further, in order to convert the shot patterns into a beam, the shot patterns are controlled and calibrated through a transfer/deflection system 10 and a lens system 11 in an analog control system 9 and then irradiated as a beam 17 onto an object 15 to be delineated. See Column 4, line 44-54.

It should be noted that the lithography control system 4 of Shibata (373) as described above uses two memory units, one utilizes the lithography data to control passage of the electron beam through the standard cell apertures in the mask and the other uses the lithography data to control the process of delineating placement of the selected standard cells on the substrate, by deflecting the electron beam to form the desired pattern.

Regarding Claim 5, Shibata (373) in view of Shimada (902) above does not disclose a mask containing cell projection apertures and an opening for a variable shaped beam (VSB). Murai (812); however, discloses in FIG. 10B, a partial plan view

Art Unit: 2881

of the aperture plate 108 and shows a group of apertures for fixed shaped beams with five kinds of apertures 102, 103, 104, 105 and 106 and an aperture 107 for obtaining a variable shaped beam. See Column 7, line 50-68; and Column 8, line 1-15.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that the lithography exposure system of Shibata (373) in view of Shimada (902) can be modified to use the mask containing both cell projection apertures and an opening for VSB in accordance with Murai (812), to increase lithography throughput.

Examiners Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2-12-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Arguments 1-3.

Applicant states that, " Shibata, Shimada, and Murai, whether taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest at least a charged beam exposure comprising, inter alia, a standard cell library recording means for recording a standard cell library having an information configured to designing the pattern of the system by using the standard cells, and for recording the standard cell library having first placement positions of the shaping holes on said CP apertures related to the standard cells corresponding to the shaping holes," as recited in claims 1,7 and 15."

Art Unit: 2881

The Applicant is respectfully directed to Shibata (373), Column 3, line 28-40; and Column 4, line 24-61, wherein the use of CAD systems having library's composed of unit cells, which are etched into a cell projection mask (aperture) is disclosed.

Also in Shimada (902) Column 1, line 14-29; Column 4, line 1-11; and Column 9, line 24-36, wherein the use of CAD systems having library's composed of basic cells of a standard cell on a mask (aperture) is disclosed.

Also in Murai (812), Column 4, line 11-22; Column 5, line 14-29; and Column 7, line 9-25, wherein the formation of unit cell patterns (contained in CAD systems having library's composed unit cell patterns) in an aperture (mask) is disclosed.

The examiner has interpreted from the combination of Shibata (373), Shimada (902), and Murai (812) references above that the terms "unit" and/or "basic" cells are art recognized equivalents to the term "standard" cells, as recited in Claims 1, 7, and 15. In addition, these unit/or standard cells are recorded in the unit/or standard cell library of a CAD system, and that the unit/or standard cell library's are used for fabrication of shaped holes in mask's/or apertures through which exposure patterns are formed on substrates to correspond with a logic design. Also the unit/or standard cell shaped holes located on the mask/or aperture can be representative of the first placement positions of the exposure, or subsequent placement positions as determined by the exposure shot sequence desired.

Conclusion

5. The Amendment filed on 2-12-2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the combination of Shibata (373), Shimada (902), and Murai (812) references.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Phillip Johnston whose telephone number is (571) 272-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone numbers are (703) 872-9318 for regular response activity, and (703) 872-9319 for after-final responses. In addition the customer service fax number is (703) 872- 9317.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,270

Page 10

Art Unit: 2881

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

PJ
March 25, 2004



JOHN R. LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800